UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/572,968	03/21/2006	Kenneth Alan Simmen	TIP-0054-USPCT	4586
27777 7590 09/18/2008 PHILIP S. JOHNSON			EXAMINER	
JOHNSON & J	OHNSON	RAO, SAVITHA M		
ONE JOHNSON & JOHNSON PLAZA NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ 08933-7003		4	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	·		1614	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/18/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/572,968	SIMMEN ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	SAVITHA RAO	1614
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 № 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin	cepted or b) objected to by the lead of a drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is objection	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-16 are currently pending in the instant application and are subject to a lack of unity requirement.

Note: Instant claims 1-14 are drawn to a non-statutory subject matter. They are use claims. It is drawn towards the use of sulfonamide derivatives. But, since the claim does not set forth any steps involved in the method/process, it is unclear what method/process applicant is intending to encompass. Accordingly, in the instant restriction requirement claims 1-14 is being included in invention I, II and III below drawn towards a product (Group 1) and its preparation (Group I) and method of use (Group III). It is incumbent upon the applicant to clarify the invention to which the claims are drawn to.

Election Restrictions

REQUIREMENT FOR UNITY OF INVENTION

As provided in 37 CFR 1.475(a), a national stage application shall relate to one invention only or to a group of inventions so linked as to form a single general inventive concept ("requirement of unity of invention"). Where a group of inventions is claimed in a national stage application, the requirement of unity of invention shall be fulfilled only when there is a technical relationship among those inventions involving one or more of the same or corresponding special technical features. The expression "special technical

features" shall mean those technical features that define a contribution which each of the claimed inventions, considered as a whole, makes over the prior art.

The determination whether a group of inventions is so linked as to form a single general inventive concept shall be made without regard to whether the inventions are claimed in separate claims or as alternatives within a single claim. See 37 CFR 1.475(e).

When Claims Are Directed to Multiple Categories of Inventions:

As provided in 37 CFR 1.475(b), a national stage application containing claims to different categories of invention will be considered to have unity of invention if the claims are drawn only to one of the following combinations of categories:

- (1)A product and a process specially adapted for the manufacture of said product; or
- (2)A product and process of use of said product; or
- (3)A product, a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said product, and a use of the said product; or
- (4)A process and an apparatus or means specifically designed for carrying out the said process; or
- (5)A product, a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said product, and an apparatus or means specifically designed for carrying out the said process.

Otherwise, unity of invention might not be present. See 37 CFR 1.475(c).

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

Application/Control Number: 10/572,968

Art Unit: 1614

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

Page 4

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

I. Group I: Claims 1-14 are drawn to sulfonamide compounds of formula below.

Please note additional Election of Species Requirement 1 outlined below.

- II. Group II: Claim 1-14 is drawn to process of manufacture of compounds of Group I above. Please note additional Election of Species Requirement 1 outlined below.
- III. Group III: Claims 1-14 are drawn to the use of the sulfonamide compound above in the manufacture of a medicament useful for inhibiting HCV activity in a mammal infected with HCV or co-infected with HIV and HCV. Please note additional Election of Species Requirement 1 and 2 outlined below.
- IV. Group IV: Claims 1-14 are drawn to a method for treating or combating HCV infection with compound described in Group I above. Please note additional Election of Species Requirement 1 outlined below.

Application/Control Number: 10/572,968 Page 5

Art Unit: 1614

V. Group V: Claim 15-16 is drawn to a combination of a sulfonamide as defined in Group I further comprising another anti-HCV agent and/or anti-HIV agent. Please note additional Election of Species Requirement 1 and 3 outlined below.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Groups I to V lack unity of invention under 37 CFR 1.475 since the groups (I-V) are not unified by the same or corresponding special feature as detailed below.

The special technical feature in **Group I** is the sulfonamide compound of the following structure

The special technical feature in **Group II** is the process of making the sulfonamide compound shown above which involves, sourcing the raw materials, optimizing the deprotecting reaction conditions, carrying out the reaction, purifying and identifying the prepared compound with the final outcome of obtaining the sulfonamide derivative.

The special technical feature in **Group III** is the method of using the compound of formula above for making a medicament useful for inhibiting HCV activity in a mammal infected with HCV or co-infected with HIV and HCV which involves the process of preparation of the medicament by addition of carriers and other appropriate excepients

(dependent on the dosage form and route of administration) with the final outcome of obtaining the compound in a form ready for administration to a mammal.

Page 6

The special technical feature in **Group IV** is the method of using the compound of formula above for treating or combating HCV infection in a patient which involves diagnosis of the disease state, determining routes of administration and dosage requirements, administration to the patient, and monitoring of the prognosis of the disease with the final outcome of relieving or curing the patient.

The special technical feature in **Group V** is the combination of the compound of formula above with another anti-HCV agent or another anti-HIV agent. which involves identification of other anti-HCV and anti-HIV agent, determining if the agents needs to be administered concomitantly or sequentially, ascertaining if there are any incompatibilities associated with the combined drugs and if required preparing a formulation comprising of the combination.

Accordingly there is no same or corresponding special technical features unifying Groups I-V and thereby they lack unity.

Therefore, since in the instant application the claims are drawn to patentably distinct inventions, based on, different products, method of use and method of making shown above, and according to 37 CFR 1.475(e): the determination whether a group of inventions is so linked as to form a single general inventive concept shall be made without regard to whether the inventions are claimed in separate claims or as alternatives within a single claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/572,968

Art Unit: 1614

The claims, therefore, lack unity of invention.

Election of Species

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic

Page 7

invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so

linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

1. Specie election 1: This specie election applies to all of Groups I-V detailed in

the restriction requirement above. Applicant is required to elect single disclosed

specie of the sulfonamide compound wherein every variable in the structure above is

clearly defined. For example: 3-[(2-Acetylamino-benzooxazole-6-sulfonyl)-isobutyl-

amino]-1-benzyl-2-hydroxy- propyl]-carbamic acid thiazol-5-ylmethyl ester, recited in

instant specification page 14, lines 29-30, page 23, line 28 -29 and in the instant claim

12.

2. Specie election 2: This specie election applies only if electing Group III

detailed in the restriction requirement above. Applicant is required to elect any one

of the following (Specie 2a or 2b):

Specie 2a: the use of the sulfonamide compound above in the manufacture of a

medicament useful for inhibiting HCV activity in a mammal infected with HCV only

Specie 2b: the use of the sulfonamide compound above in the manufacture of a medicament useful for inhibiting HCV activity in a mammal co-infected with HIV and HCV.

3. Specie election 3: This specie election applies only if electing Group V detailed in the restriction requirement above. Applicant is required to elect any one of the following species (Specie 3a or 3b):

Specie 3a: combination of a sulfonamide as defined in Group I further comprising another anti-HCV agent only

Specie 3b: combination of a sulfonamide as defined in Group I further comprising another anti-HCV agent and an anti-HIV agent.

The species are structurally divergent, differ in their physical, chemical and biological properties and activities and thereby require searching in different class/subclasses and use of different search queries. Additionally, the different properties of the claimed species would also result in different efficacies and bioavailability profiles. In the instant case, the reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply

must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Page 9

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowed generic claim. Currently, the following claim(s) are generic: 1-13 and 15-16 are generic.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii)identification of the claims encompassing the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

The election of the species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the election of species requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected species.

Application/Control Number: 10/572,968 Page 10

Art Unit: 1614

Rejoinder

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims.

Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder.

All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101,102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b).

Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Art Unit: 1614

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to SAVITHA RAO whose telephone number is (571)270-5315. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8 am to 5 pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ardin Marschel can be reached on 571-272-0718. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/SAVITHA RAO/ Examiner, Art Unit 1614 Application/Control Number: 10/572,968 Page 12

Art Unit: 1614

/Ardin Marschel/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1614